ELECTRONICALLY FILED
1/13/2016 4:07 PM
2013-CH-17450
CALENDAR: 05
PAGE 1 of 5
CIRCUIT COURT OF
COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
CHANCERY DIVISION
CLERK DOROTHY BROWN

EXHIBIT 9

```
1
       STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 2
                       ) SS:
       COUNTY OF C O O K )
 3
            IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
 4
 5
                  COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CHANCERY DIVISION
 6
       THE CITY OF CHICAGO,
 7
                     Plaintiff,
 3
                                      ) No. 37 CH 10134
               VS.
 9
       MARSHALL KORSHAK, et. al.,
10
                     Defendant. )
11
12
                                   Before the Honorable
13
                                   Albert Green
14
                                   Judge of said Court
15
16
                                   June 22, 1988
17
                                   1:45 o'clock p.m.
18
19
           APPEARANCES:
20
21
                 (Same as hereinbefore noted.)
22
23
24
```

1	1	INDEX	
	2	WITNESS	DX CX RDX RCX
	3	RONALD PICUR	114
	4		134
5 6 7 8			138
			141
			144
			148
ELECTRONICALLY,FILED 1/13/2016 4:07 PM 2013-CH-17450 PAGE 3 of 5	9	S. NATHAN WILLIAMS	150
	10	AL JOHN FATTORE	169
	11		187
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15	EXHIBITS	
	16	NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID
•	17	Defendant's Exhibit	
	18	Number 26	P. 174
	19	Number 21	P. 176
	20	Number 24	P. 177
	21	Number 27	P. 182
	22		
	23		
	24		

ELECTRONICALLY FILED 1/13/2016 4:07/PM 2013-CH-17450 PAGE 4 of 5	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. W	Well, assuming th	ey have medical	costs as			
opposed to	protection that	they've actual	ly incurred			
costs unde	r deductibility,	assuming that	the type of			
cost falls	under the terms	of a deductibl	e or			
co-insurance they would pay that portion.						

- So I guess that is that they do pay a portion Q. of their medical costs?
 - Yes. Those would be our costs, yes.
- Okay. Let's go back to this meeting that took Q. place.

You have a deficit you're trying to cover that took place in the spring of '87?

- The discussion did not focus on the Α. deficit. The discussion focused on the pension-related matters.
 - How do we cut these costs, right?
- That was part of it but that was not speaking Α. to a deficit.
- Q. And the Ryan case was brought up and discussed as part of it?
 - Some place along the line, yes.
- In fact, it's as a result of the Ryan case Q. that the corporation counsel comes to all of you and says we've discovered a way to offset the Ryan case;

10 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

right?

- Α. I believe that's correct.
- And the next decision is made to go to the 0 。 Funds and say, we've discovered this problem. offset one against the other; right? That was the game plan, wasn't it?
 - That was part of the game plan.

MR. KRISLOV: No further questions, your Honor.

No further questions. THE COURT:

Ms. Beckett, any cross-examination?

MS. BECKETT: Yes, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. BECKETT:

Mr. Picur, referring back to pending pension legislation in Springfield last year in 1987, it's your testimony that to the best of your recollection, none of the proposed changes in pension legislation addressed the question of health care.

Isn't it a fact that the four pension funds did introduce language proposing an extension of subsidy to widows of annuitants?

MR. HEISS: I'm going to object to the revelancy of subsidy of widows as it applies to the counterclaim and keeping health care benefits in place. That has to do